

The National Association of Broadcasters is terrified of the threat subscriber based radio poses to their market. Instead of countering this threat with anything approaching a business plan that my actually attract consumers, they are crying about unfair competition.

The FCC should not impose any content restrictions on a service for which I voluntarily and willingly subscribe. I have entered a contract for which I am even willing to pay subscription charges. How can the NAB make any coherent argument against that? Currently 1.7 million people have opted for XM satellite service and almost 300,000 have subscribed to Sirius. In effect, voting with their pocket books to ignore services offered for free because they are WORTHLESS.

The only correct response would be to affirm to the NAB that they are members of a COMPETITIVE market based in a democratic, capitalistic nation. Their proper and only recourse is to offer services that COMPETE.

I cannot express just how strongly I urge the FCC to reject this petition. It galls me to think that the NAB actually has the nerve to make such a case and try to restrict my rights. It was and is my choice of what to listen to on my radio and no one should have the right to restrict that.